

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,540	06/27/	2003	Joseph C. Henson	Н19	6133
7590 03/08/2005				EXAMINER	
Michael J. Fo		PHAM, MINH CHAU THI			
1718 M St. N.W., #255 Washington, DC 20036				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1724	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/607,540	HENSON, JOSEPH C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a regunication.) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty lutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:						
Applicant may not request that any object		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	documents have been received. Iocuments have been received in Apple of the priority documents have been real Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/7/04. 		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keele (5,964,910).

Keele teaches a filter housing (10) for receiving a filter (6) comprising a filter housing (10) having a raised top portion (30) having a plurality of shaped apertures, a first end portion (18) adapted to slidably receive an air filter (6) therein, and the shaped apertures extending along the top portion and the first and second portions (see 30 in Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 21-50, col. 4, lines 20-37).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keele (5,964,910), in view of Lawlor, Sr. (6,716,267 B2).

Claims 4-7 call for the two sides portions of the filter housing are adjustable in length in order to adapt the air filter housing to fit a variety of air duct sizes. Lawlor, Sr. discloses a filter assembly (12) to be readily installed in various positions within

Page 3

enclosure (14a) including a filter rack (38) whose length (40) (or width) can expand to match various interior dimensions of enclosure (14a). The filter rack (12) includes two fixed length side members (42, 44) and two adjustable length end members (46, 48) (see 38, 40, 46 & 48 in Figs. 3 & 4, col. 2, line 65 through col. 3, line 7). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the length of frame adjustable as taught by Lawlor, Sr. for the filter housing of Keele since the adjustable frame would fit a variety of air duct sizes.

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keele (5,964,910), in view of Gatov (6,817,941 B1).

Claims 8-10 call for the filter housing having a pair of projecting pins adapted for engagement with apertures in the air filter element. Gatov discloses a filter housing (204) having two projecting pins (214) for securing the plate (204). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide projecting pins on filter housing as taught by Gatov in the filter apparatus of Keele since the pins would facilitate attachment of the air filter element into the housing as a fastener means.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Clayton et al (6,623,540 B2) disclose a filter apparatus for HVAC system.
- Shanks et al (6,319,307 B1) discloses commercial air filter.
- Jadran et al (6,241,794 B1) disclose a minivent air filter.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,540 Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

- Danforth (5,947,815) discloses an air register filtering system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1724 March 4, 2005